The research collaboration revealed that CRISPR/Cas9 is a ruthlessly effective viral assassin. CRISPR is the bacterial-coding mechanism that stores a snapshot of the bad guy, the viral DNA. A segment of RNA reads this snapshot of DNA and creates the complementary strand, like a seamstress who can make one side of an RNA zipper that custom matches its DNA complement. The RNA side of the zipper is mated with a pair of very sharp molecular scissors known as an

With amazing speed and specificity, the RNA/endonuclease assassin scans the z inside the cell. If it finds viral DNA that is a match, this assassin uses the endon half, destroying its ability to infect the cell.

Says Doudna, "Our 2012 paper was a big success, but there was a problem. We weren't sure if CRISPR/Cas9 would work in eukaryotes—plant and animal cells." Unlike bacteria, plant and animal cells have a cell nucleus, and inside, DNA is stored in a tightly wound form, bound in a structure called chromatin.

The CRISPR/Cas9 system evolved to fight viral invaders inside the relatively simple cells of prokaryotes—bacteria and their closely related cousin, archaea. "My lab began to explore how well CRISPR/Cas9 would work in eukaryotic cells," says Doudna. "Meanwhile, the research groups of George Church at Harvard, and of Feng Zhang at MIT, were also working hard to see if they could get CRISPR/Cas9 to function in eukaryotic cells."



http://catalyst.berkeley.edu/slideshow/the-crispr-revolution/

5/1/2015 Page 3

Ex. 2207, Catalyst at 3



JENNER&BLOCK

One early form of CRISPR-based gene therapy could involve editing the genes responsible for blood disorders like sickle-cell anemia in bone marrow cells, growing them into mature blood cells and injecting them back into patients.

Little more than a year after Doudna first described CRISPR

that "in many ways it's better" than his own technique.

(http://www.sciencemag.org/content/337/6096/816.short) in the journal Science, the cutand-paste technology has yielded promising results in labs around the world. Last month, researchers from the Netherland's Utrecht institute reported in Cell Stem Cell that CRISPR corrected the gene mutation responsible for cystic fibrosis (http://www.the-scientist.com/?

gene th Doudn knew

> "I hope "CRISI hands

articles. childre

Doudna experienced "many frustrations" getting CRISPR to work in human cells. But she knew if she succeeded, CRISPR would be "a profound discovery" — and maybe even a powerful gene therapy technique.

showing that CRISPR can cut, delete and replace genes in human cells (https://newscenter.berkeley.edu/2013/01/07/cheap-and-easy-technique-to-snip-dnacould-revolutionize-gene-therapy/). University of Massachusetts biologist Craig Mello, who shared the 2006 Nobel Prize for another genome editing tool, hails Doudna's CRISPR technique (http://www.independent.co.uk/news/science/exclusive-jawdroppingbreakthrough-hailed-as-landmark-in-fight-against-hereditary-diseases-as-crispr-techniqueheralds-genetic-revolution-8925295.html) as a "tremendous breakthrough," even admitting

Other techniques can also edit genes at specific DNA regions. But they require scientists to engineer a separate protein for each target site. In contrast, CRISPR only needs the Cas9 protein, allowing it to correct multiple defects at once. Besides being cheaper and easier to use, CRISPR is also much more precise, reducing the risk of off-target modifications introducing dangerous mutations. As a result, it could help revive the gene therapy field, whose early clinical failures - including patient deaths - led some to dismiss it as overhyped.

That doesn't mean CRISPR is perfect, though. While it's extremely precise, it occasionally modifies DNA at similar sites elsewhere in the genome instead of the target gene. Understanding and exploiting how Cas9 avoids these close matches "is an active area of investigation," Doudna said. Still, CRISPR is "a real game-changer Page 3

Ex. 2230, Pandika at 3



JENNER&BLOCK

M eLIFE

37

RESEARCH ARTICLE 6

eLIFE Research article

RNA-programmed genome editing in human cells

Martin Jinek^{1,2}, Alexandra East², Aaron Cheng², Steven Lin^{1,2}, Enbo Ma², Jennifer Doudna^{1,2,3,4}e

"Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley United States; "Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Califo Berkeley, Berkeley, United States; "Department of Chemistry, University of Ca Berkeley, Berkeley, United States; "Pl National Laboratory, Berkeley, United

Cas9, to cleave foreign DNA at specific site RNAs in human cells and can induce the for complementary to the guide RNA sequention to Cas9 and the complementary binding

eLife digest The ability to make specific changes to DNA—such as changing, inserting of ences that encode proteins-allows researchers to engineer cells, tissues and nisms for therapeutic and practical applications. Until now, such genome engineering has red the design and production of proteins with the ability to recognize a specific DNA

These findings suggested the exciting possibility that Cas9:sgRNA complexes might constitute a simple and versatile RNA-directed system for generating DSBs that could facilitate site-specific genome editing. However, it was not known whether such a bacterial system would function in eukaryotic cells.

et al., 2017; Jinek et al., 2012). Recent ex be redesigned as a single transcript (single-for both Cas's binding and DNA target siter programmed to cleave double-stranded DI including a GG protospacer-adjacent (PAM These findings suggested the exciting por simple and versatile RNA-directed system

We show here that Cas9 can be expressed and localized to the nucleus of human cells, and that it assembles with sgRNA in vivo. These complexes can generate double stranded breaks and stimulate non-homologous end joining (NHEL) repair in genomic DNA at a site complementary to the sgRNA sequence, an activity that requires both CasP and the sgRNA. Extension of the RNA sequence at its 3' end enhances DNA targeting activity in vivo. Further, experiments using extracts from transfected cells show that sgRNA assembly into CasP is the limiting factor for CasP mediated DNA cleavage. These results demonstrate the feasibility of RNA-programmed genome editing in human cells.

To test whether Cas9 could be programmed to cleave genomic DNA in vivo, we co-expressed Cas9 together with an sigRNA designed to target the human clathrin light chain (CLTA) gene. The CLTA genomic locus has previously been targeted and edited using ZFNs (Doyon et al., 2011). We first tested the expression of a human-codon-optimized version of the Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 genomic locus has previously oben targeted and ecited using 2-ths (Deglor et al., 2011), we first tested the expression of a human-codon-optimized version of the Streptzoccus pyrgp.eve Carp protein paring an HA epitope, a nuclear localization signal (NLS), and green fluorescent protein (SEP) attached to the C-terminus of Cas? (Figure 1A). Analysis of cells transfected with a vector encoding the GPP-fued Cas? revealed abundant Cas? expression and nuclear localization (Figure 1B). Western blotting confirmed that the Cas? protein is expressed largely intact in extracts from these cells (Figure 1A). To program Cas?, we expressed sigNA bearing a 5-terminal 20-nucleotide sequence complementary to the target DNA sequence, and a 42-nucleotide 3-terminal 20-nucleotide sequence required for Cas? binding (Figure 1C). This 3-terminal sequence corresponds to the minimal stemilops structure that has previously been used to program Cas? In vitro (Indeed et al., 2012). The expression of this sigRNA was driven by the human Us (RNA polymerase III) promoter (Medlins and Josh), 1999, Northern biotting analysis of RNA extracted from cells transfected with the Us promoter-driven sgRNA plasmid expression vector showed that the sgRNA is indeed expressed, and that their stability is enhanced by the presence of Cas? (Figure 1D).

Next, we live presence of Cas? (Figure 1D).

Next, we probed for minor insertions and deletions in the locus resulting from imperfect repair by DSB-induced NHEU using the Surveyor nuclease

Ex. 1057, Jinek 2013 at 1-2

Jinek et al. eLife 2013;2:e00471, DOI: 10.7554/eLife.00471